# Attachment 1.

## Analysis of GMA-12 Water Budgets Planning Scenario 4 (PS-4) Prepared by Environmental Stewardship

Simsboro Aquifer	Lost Pines GCD		Acre-feet	per Year*	
	Description	Calibratio	n Period	DFC Pe	riod
Technical	Layman	1975	1999	2000	2070
Recharge	To(+) aquifer	5,000	25,000	5,000	5,000
Et	From(-) Evapotranspiration	0	0	0	-2,500
Storage Change	To(-)/from(+) storage	18,000	8,000	8,000	25,000
Stream leakage	To(-)/from(+) surface waters	-15,000	-4,000	-2,000	12,000
Drains	To(-)/from(+) springs	-2,500	0	0	0
Verticle Leakage	To(-)/from(+) other aquifers	1,000	3,000	3,000	20,000
Lateral Leakage	To(-)/from(+) POS	-2,000	0	-4,000	-17,000
Wells	Pumping	-2,500	-13,000	-22,000	-58,000
Slide # 79	Net:	2,000	19,000	-12,000	-15,500

Net Change over period					
Calibration	DFC	Total			
20,000	0	20,000			
0	-2,500	-2,500			
-10,000	17,000	7,000			
11,000	14,000	25,000			
2,500	0	2,500			
2,000	17,000	19,000			
2,000	-13,000	-11,000			
-10,500	-36,000	-46,500			
17,000	-3,500	13,500			

<sup>\*</sup> All values are extrapolated from graph and are estimates of the actual GAM values

Simsboro Aquifer	Post Oak Savannah GCD		Acre-feet	per Year*	
	Description	Calibration	n Period	DFC Pei	riod
Technical	Layman	1975	1999	2000	2070
Recharge	To(+) aquifer	13,000	10,000	12,000	12,000
Et	From(-) Evapotranspiration	0	0	0	0
Storage Change	To(-)/from(+) storage	22,000	28,000	30,000	30,000
Stream leakage	To(-)/from(+) surface waters	-30,000	-12,000	-8,000	10,000
Drains	To(-)/from(+) springs	0	0	0	0
Verticle Leakage	To(-)/from(+) other aquifers	2,000	4,500	4,500	27,000
Lateral Leakage	To(-)/from(+) other districts	-7,000	-11,000	-18,000	-25,000
Wells	Pumping	-2,000	-22,000	-25,000	-71,000
	Net:	-2.000	-2.500	-4.500	-17.000

Net Change over period				
Calibration	DFC	Total		
-3,000	0	-3,000		
0	0	0		
6,000	0	6,000		
18,000	18,000	36,000		
0	0	0		
2,500	22,500	25,000		
-4,000	-7,000	-11,000		
-20,000	-46,000	-66,000		
-500	-12,500	-13,000		

 $<sup>^{</sup>st}$  All values are extrapolated from graph and are estimates of the actual GAM values

Simsboro Aquifer	Brazos Valley GCD		Acre-feet	per Year*	
	Description	Calibration	Period	DFC Pe	riod
Technical	Layman	1975	1999	2000	2070
Recharge	To(+) aquifer	7,500	4,000	5,000	5,000
Et	From(-) Evapotranspiration	2,000	3,000	0	0
Storage Change	To(-)/from(+) storage	17,000	17,000	10,000	12,000
Stream leakage	To(-)/from(+) surface waters	-18,000	-6,000	-6,000	-2,000
Drains	To(-)/from(+) springs	0	0	0	0
Verticle Leakage	To(-)/from(+) other aquifers	6,000	13,000	13,000	33,000
Lateral Leakage	To(-)/from(+) other districts	2,000	7,000	7,000	41,000
Wells	Pumping			-45,000	-111,000
_	Net:	16,500	38,000	-16,000	-22,000

Net Change over period				
Calibration	DFC	Total		
-3,500	0	-3,500		
1,000	0	1,000		
0	2,000	2,000		
12,000	4,000	16,000		
0	0	0		
7,000	20,000	27,000		
5,000	34,000	39,000		
0	-66,000	-66,000		
21,500	-6,000	15,500		

 $<sup>^{</sup>st}$  All values are extrapolated from graph and are estimates of the actual GAM values

Simsboro Aquifer	Fayette County GCD		Acre-feet p	er Year*	
	Description	Calibration	Period	DFC Per	riod
Technical	Layman	1975	1999	2000	2070
Recharge	To(+) aquifer	0	0	0	0
Et	From(-) Evapotranspiration	-50	-50	0	0
Storage Change	To(-)/from(+) storage	0	50	50	50
Stream leakage	To(-)/from(+) surface waters	0	o	0	0
Drains	To(-)/from(+) springs	0	О	0	0
Verticle Leakage	To(-)/from(+) other aquifers	200	700	400	4,200
Lateral Leakage	To(-)/from(+) other districts	-300	-500	-700	-4,500
Wells	Pumping	0	0	0	0
	Net:	-150	200	-250	-250

Net Change over period			
Calibration	DFC	Total	
0	0	C	
0	0	0	
50	0	50	
0	0	0	
0	0	0	
500	3,800	4,300	
-200	-3,800	-4,000	
0	0	0	
350	0	350	

 $<sup>^{\</sup>ast}\,$  All values are extrapolated from graph and are estimates of the actual GAM values

Simsboro Aquifer	Mid-East Texas GCD		Acre-feet	per Year*	
	Description	Calibration	Period	DFC Per	iod
Technical	Layman	1,975	1,999	2,000	2,070
Recharge	To(+) aquifer	12,000	6,000	10,000	10,000
Et	From(-) Evapotranspiration	-1,000	-1,000	-1,000	-1,000
Storage Change	To(-)/from(+) storage	21,000	4,000	2,500	1,000
Stream leakage	To(-)/from(+) surface waters	-30,000	-8,000	-8,000	-7,000
Drains	To(-)/from(+) springs	0	0	0	0
Verticle Leakage	To(-)/from(+) other aquifers	2,500	4,000	5,500	12,000
Lateral Leakage	To(-)/from(+) other districts	0	1,000	2,000	-11,000
Wells	Pumping	-2,000	-3,000	-9,000	-4,000
	Note	2 500	2 000	2,000	٥

Net Change over period				
Calibration	DFC	Total		
-6,000	0	-6,000		
0	0	0		
-17,000	-1,500	-18,500		
22,000	1,000	23,000		
0	0	0		
1,500	6,500	8,000		
1,000	-13,000	-12,000		
-1,000	5,000	4,000		
500	-2,000	-1,500		

<sup>\*</sup> All values are extrapolated from graph and are estimates of the actual GAM values

Simsboro Aquifer	GCD's Consolidated		Acre-feet	per Year*	
	Description	Calibration	Period	DFC Pe	riod
Technical	Layman	1975	1999	2000	2070
Recharge	To(+) aquifer	37,500	45,000	32,000	32,000
Et	From(-) Evapotranspiration	950	1,950	-1,000	-3,500
Storage Change	To(-)/from(+) storage	78,000	57,050	50,550	68,050
Stream leakage	To(-)/from(+) surface waters	-93,000	-30,000	-24,000	13,000
Drains	To(-)/from(+) springs	-2,500	0	0	0
Verticle Leakage	To(-)/from(+) other aquifers	11,700	25,200	26,400	96,200
Lateral Leakage	To(-)/from(+) other districts	-7,300	-3,500	-13,700	-16,500
Wells	Pumping	-6,500	-38,000	-101,000	-244,000
	Net:	18,850	57,700	-30,750	-54,750

Net Change over period					
Calibration	DFC	Total			
7,500	0	7,500			
1,000	-2,500	-1,500			
-20,950	17,500	-3,450			
63,000	37,000	100,000			
2,500	0	2,500			
13,500	69,800	83,300			
3,800	-2,800	1,000			
-31,500	-143,000	-174,500			
38,850	-24,000	14,850			

### OBSERVATIONS: Lost Pines GCD

- 1 Outflow to surface water decreased by 11,000 ac-ft/yr during calibration and another 14,000 during DFC; a total of 25,000 ac-ft/yr
- 2. Outflow to surface water ceases about 2060
- 3. Storage increased during calibration period and decreases during DFC (drawdown)
- 4. Verticle leakage into Simsbor increases significantly during DFC period
- 5. Lateral flow out of Districtt decreased slightly during calibration but increases significantly during DFC period (net outflow from District).
- 6. Pumping increased during calibration and increases significantly during DFC period (total 2070 pumping is 58,000 ac-ft/yr).

#### OBSERVATIONS: Post Oak Savannah GCD

- 1 Outflow to surface water decreased by 18,000 ac-ft/yr during calibration and another 18,000 during DFC; a total of 36,000 ac-ft/yr
- 2. Outflow to surface water ceases about 2020
- 3. Storage decreased during calibration period and is neutral during DFC (drawdown)
- 4. Verticle leakage into Simsbor increases significantly during DFC period
- 5. Lateral flow out of the District increases during calibration and continues increase significantly during DFC period (net outflow from District).
- 6. Pumping increased sginificantly during calibration and increases significantly during DFC period (total 2070 pumping is 71,000 ac-ft/yr).

### OBSERVATIONS: Brazos Valley GCD

- 1 Outflow to surface water decreased by 12,000 ac-ft/yr during calibration and another 4,000 during DFC; a total of 16,000 ac-ft/yr
- 2. Outflow to surface water remains near neutral
- 3. Storage is neutral during calibration period and decreases slightly during DFC (drawdown)
- 4. Verticle leakage into Simsbor increases significantly during DFC period
- 5. Lateral flow into District increases increased during calibration and increases very significantly during DFC period (net inflow to District).
- 6. Pumping is not recorded during calibration but increases very significantly during DFC period (total 2070 pumping is 111,000 ac-ft/yr).

#### OBSERVATIONS: Fayette County GCD

- 1 Outflow to surface water decrease is insignificant
- 2. Outflow to surface water does not change significantly
- 3. Storage is neutra during both periods.
- 4. Verticle leakage into Simsbor increases during DFC period
- 5. Lateral flow out of District is slight during calibration and increases moderately during DFC period (net outflow from District).
- 6. Pumping does not occur in Simsboro aquifer.

<sup>\*</sup> All values are extrapolated from graph and are estimates of the actual GAM values

### OBSERVATIONS: Mid-East Texas GCD

- 1 Outflow to surface water decreased by 22,000 ac-ft/yr during calibration and another 1,000 during DFC; a total of 23,000 ac-ft/yr
- 2. Outflow to surface water continues through both periods
- 3. Storage increased significantly during calibration period and only slightly during DFC (net increase)
- 4. Verticle leakage into Simsbor increases during DFC period
- 5. Lateral flow into District increased slightly during calibration but reverses during DFC period (net outflow from District).
- 6. Pumping increased slightly during calibration and DFC period (total 2070 pumping is 4,000 ac-ft/yr).

### OBSERVATIONS: GCD's Consolidated

- 1 Outflow to surface water decreased by 63,000 ac-ft/yr during calibration and another 37,000 during DFC; a total of 100,000 ac-ft/yr
- 2. Outflow to surface water ceases between 2020 (Post Oak) and 2060 (Lost Pines).
- 3. Storage increased during calibration period and decreases more significantly during DFC (drawdown)
- 4. Verticle leakage into Simsbor increases very significantly during DFC period
- 5. Lateral flow out of districts decreased slightly during calibration and increases slightly during DFC period (net outflow from District).
- 6. Pumping increased significanly during calibration and DFC period (total 2070 pumping is 244,000 ac-ft/yr).